IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITON NO.420 OF 2006

Shri Chandrakant L. Jagdale ..Petitioner.

V/s.

The Dy.Director of Health Services ..Respondent.

WITH

WRIT PETITON NO.422 OF 2006

Sou.Neelima Ashok More ..Petitioner.

V/s.

The Dy.Director of Health Services ..Respondent.

Mr.V.R. Kulkarni with M.S.Karnik for petitioner.

Mr.A.H.Palekar, A.G.P. for respondent.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 18TH APRIL, 2006.

P.C. :-

. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner in both the petitions. No case is made out to interfere with the impugned orders. Both the Courts below have taken a reasonable and possible view in the matter keeping in view the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of **M.P.Housing Board and Anr. V/s. Manoj Shrivastava [2006(2) S.C.C. 702], Delhi Development Horticulture Employees Union V/s. Delhi Administration,**

Delhi & Ors. [1992 (1) C.L.R. 537] and **1 [1998 (1) B.L.R. 327]**. The impugned orders can hardly be said to be perverse orders. Petitions are, therefore, dismissed with no order as to costs.

2. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for petitioners prayed for interim stay of this order. Prayer made is rejected.

******